openGUTS v1.2 list of known bugs & issues 20 March 2024

Table 1: Known bugs & issues in the software and desired changes expressed by the test panel	
that are not currently implemented	1
Table 2: Possible new functionality without requiring major reworking of the code	6
Table 3: Suggested new functionality that would require major reworking of the code.	8

Table 1: Known bugs & issues in the software and desired changes expressed by the test panel that are not currently implemented.

Issue	Technical comments
Important calculation error: IT calculations in calibration and predictions makes use of a shortcut that can lead to errors for time-varying exposure (for scenarios where there is a linear decrease over time). (added 19 May 2020). REPAIRED IN V1.1 (1 March 2021).	This error was already part of the openGUTS design and in the prototype. However, it went unnoticed as it only affects calibration/prediction in very specific (and probably uncommon) situations. A separate document on the openGUTS web page (linked in the warning texts) explains this issue in more detail.
Error message: the standalone throws an error message when working with a data set with time-varying exposure, for which there are one or more missing observations for survival at the last time point. This error occurs both in v1.0 and v1.1. (added 5 April 2023). REPAIRED IN V1.2 (20 March 2024).	The error occurs for the plotting part, after the calibration has finished (Rifcon has confirmed this). The Matlab version does not have this problem. Workaround is to split up the data set in two (or more) sets with different time vectors.
Calculation: for the dieldrin case study, the IT calibration triggers reprofiling with a "flag_profile[1]: 10000000000". This is generated in <test_profilk> BLOCK 2.1. The Matlab version does not trigger this for this data set. End result is correct, though.</test_profilk>	Response WSC: The condition min(coll_tst(:,parnr)) < min(parprof(:,parnr)) was true for the data set, triggering the high flag. However, the difference between the minimum of coll_tst and the minimum of parprof was only ~4E-16. Thus, the different behaviour between the Matlab version and the C++ version could result from machine precision or varying calculation precision of certain built-in functions.
Calculation: SD calculations are very slow for time-varying exposure. IT calculations and SD- constant run approx. 3x faster in the standalone, compared to the Matlab version, but SD-timevar. is 3x slower. That seems to point at the slowness of the numerical integration of the hazard rate over time.	WSC used rather standard trapezium-rule integration, functionally similar to that built-in in Matlab. We decided to accept the slowness for version 1.0.
Calculation: rounding of LPx to 4 digits seems to take place before calculating survival plots for predictions. In some cases, this can lead to final survival deviating from 90 and 50%. There could	

be some more intermediate rounding going on.	
The OGP file saves parameter estimates and the	
sample in 6 digits, which should be good enough.	
Calculation: for the calculation of the NRMSE and	It is likely better to always include the values
NSE, the values at <i>t</i> =0 are excluded. This seemed	at <i>t</i> =0.
like a good idea, since the residual will always be	
zero at $t=0$. However, the response at $t=0$ will	
also be used for the mean response in both	
statistics. Especially for the NSE, this leads to very	
bad values when the survival response drops	
rapidly between <i>t</i> =0 and the first observation.	
(added 30 April 2020).	
GUI/general: would be good to have the	
possibility to drag the output screen (for the	
output text) to a different height. Now just a few	
lines of text are shown and the user always	
needs to scroll	
GUI/general: Number format for small numbers	
becomes 'scientific' (e.g. 1.875F-5, which is	
good) However this is not done for large	
numbers (becomes a hit messy)	
GUI/general: After pressing the 'Calibrate' button	This seems like a GLII hug
for the first time, the menu item 'Batch' gets an	This seems like a Got bug.
icon and takes more snace in the menu har	
General: the openGLITS icon (on deskton, File	
Explorer etc.) does not scale as other Windows	
icons do.	
GUI/general: conv data from granh has issues:	
the model values are correct, but the input data	
are not (survival as absolute numbers without Cl	
and exposure scenario is ponsense for time-	
varving exposure)	
GUI/general: loading an OGP file works.	This is unwanted behaviour, though not so
However, if you want to create a report after	nrohlematic
that you get the full nath of the report made	
nreviously filled in in the file name hox. This	
hannens more generally in the software when	
loading/saving files (and not always the last	
filenath is shown)	
GUI/general: selecting a new analysis clears	
everything, but <i>not</i> the results from batch	
predictions. The previous results always stay in	
there and cannot be cleared. <i>(added 19 May</i>	
2020).	
PARTLY REPAIRED IN V1.1: the filenames are	
there but the results are cleared (1 March 2021).	
GUI/general: warning/error messages are partly	Keep an eve open for German in other dialogs
in German. (added 1 March 2021) REPAIRED IN	
V1.2 (20 March 2023).	

GUI/input data: if you create a new data matrix	A workaround is to just select the lowest	
and select <no control="" group="">, an error is</no>	concentration, and make sure that you fit	
produced: "Define at least one control group!"	background hazard hb along with the other	
This is incorrect in two ways: it should be possible	parameters in the calibration.	
to work without control, and there can only be		
one control. (added after v.1.0 release).		
GUI/calibration: it would be nice to have the	This functionality could be built into the	
option to modify time points and effect levels at	Matlab version only. However, since ERA	
which LCx,t is calculated. Also for LPx: option to	usually works with standard values for x and t,	
choose effect level.	there may not be much need for this.	
GUI/calibration: in the expert settings, fixing a	Workaround would be to fit the parameter,	
parameter to a value <i>below</i> the advised range	rather than fixing it, but using a very small	
does not work. When the user presses the	range. However, this is unwanted behaviour	
'Calibrate' button, the value jumps to the <i>highest</i>	of the GUI.	
value from the advised range. (added 21 April		
2020).		
Plots/calibration: parameter space plot needs	This is done in the Matlab version, and should	
lines for the min-max bounds as in the Matlab	not be too hard to implement in the	
version (dark blue lines). Then it is immediately	standalone as well. These lines help	
clear how close the parameter cloud is to the	interpretation of the optimisation.	
bounds.		
Plots/calibration: in the LCx plots, the CIs for	This is properly done in the Matlab version	
LC10 obscure CIs for LC50 if they overlap. The	already, but requires transparent colours	
best values is however plotted.	(which could be harder in the standalone).	
Plots/calibration/validation/prediction: for	This should be simple to adjust.	
survival plots, the time axis is slightly shifted to		
the right. That is not done for damage and		
exposure plots in the same picture. All time axes		
should be the same.		
Plots/calibration: in the parameter-space plots,	This should be simple to adjust.	
the profiles are slightly shifted up (the y-axis does		
not cross at zero). This is potentially confusing:		
crossing at zero is best.		
Calibration: the software ran into problems when	This is unlikely to be an issue in practice.	
only one parameter was fitted. At this moment,	Workaround is to set ranges very tight. The	
the user is therefore forced to fit 2 parameter or	Matlab version is able to fit one parameter, if	
more.	really needed.	
Calibration/profiling: in very extreme cases,	The informative error is produced in BLOCK	
profiling may find a much better optimum which	6.1. This may not be necessary, as long as	
leaves no points in the cloud (apart from the new	<coll_ok> contains some good points to</coll_ok>	
best value). This now produces an informative	continue sampling with. However,	
error on screen. However, this may not be	<edges_cloud> would then need to be</edges_cloud>	
necessary. It is unclear whether this occurs in	calculated based on <coll_all> AND <coll_ok>.</coll_ok></coll_all>	
practice, and, in general, such cases would need	This is already implemented in the BYOM	
specialist attention. (<i>reported after v.1.0 release</i>).	version of the algorithm, but not in	
	openGUTS.	
Calibration/profiling/GUI: the optimised profile-	This is a small issue in practice, since the	
likelihood points (that are used for the profile	reported sample size is of little practical use in	
curves in the graphs) are also added to the total	most cases.	
sample. However, this is not reflected in the		
status of the sample size printed on screen,		

which therefore shows a somewhat smaller	
sample size than what is actually used (and what	
is saved in the project file). (<i>reported after v.1.0</i>	
release).	
CIII/madiationality would be good to have take	
for both CLITS BED SD and CLITS BED IT on the	
for both GOTS-RED-SD and GOTS-RED-IT on the	
taba If you do an IT run offer an CD run, the	
coffuero still remembers the IT run anyway (the	
checkboxes for IT and SD act as tab switches)	
This is counter-intuitive behaviour: I would prefer	
tabs that are filled when a calculation is	
performed I would like to keep the checkboxes	
for SD or IT so the user can calculate only one of	
them	
GUI/predictions: predictions without CI do not	
trigger the progress bar. However, for SD, it may	
take some time. It would be good if the software	
shows the progress bar for such cases, or displays	
a text message in the output window (like	
"calculation running"). Just to let the user know	
that it is working (and not crashed).	
GUI/predictions: if you run an IT prediction,	
followed by an SD prediction, but abort it half-	
way, the LPx estimates for IT in its output window	
are gone (also says "Predictions aborted").	
(Added 22 May 2020).	
Report: link to Word seems rather vulnerable. In	Latest tests look stable. Maybe it is good for
some cases, error and warning messages appear.	users to get some more experience with the
	software and see if this is still an issue.
Report: the exposure-damage-survival plot is cut	
up over multiple pages; is it possible to scale it	
down so that it fits on one page and remains one	
picture?	
Report: Use Word section headings and create an	
automated Table of Contents.	
GUI/report: Provide a dialogue for (un-)selecting	
some parts of the report.	
Report: performing batch calculations after	
regular LPx estimations leads to problems in the	
report. The last batch LPx calculation overwrites	
the regular one, but the plots are still from the	
regular calculations. Furthermore, plots from	
previous calculations may appear in the report	
(even when pressing 'New project').	
KEPAIKED IN V1.1 (1 March 2021).	
Report: calibration algorithm restarts when 'slow	This is not a bug per se, but it may be
kinetics' is indicated. The parameter ranges in the	unexpected for users to see ranges differ from
report are the values <i>after</i> the restart. In	what they started with (and different ranges

restarting, the algorithm attempts to decrease	for different model runs). Some explanation in
the ranges of several parameters, based on the	the report would be good (also to indicate
cloud of points found so far. (added 7 May 2020).	that a restart occurred).
Report: the selection for what to do with	This is unfortunate, since this information is
background hazard in the validation stage is not	important to judge the validation output.
shown in the report. (added 7 May 2020).	
Prediction: the calculation of CIs on LPx takes a	This could be eased by using a smaller sub-
long time, especially for SD (could be several	sample from parameter space, at the expense
hours).	of underestimating the true width of the CIs.
IMPROVED IN V1.1 for batch processing, a sub-	For batch processing, this is implemented as
sample can be used (1 March 2021).	an option in the Matlab version.
Loading OGP: on loading a OGP file, the	If this line is really needed, the data for that
calibration output is reconstructed on screen.	line would need to be saved in the OGP file,
However, the parameter-space plot is lacking the	which implies a change in its format.
red line for the profile.	
Loading OGP: if a project files is saved before	Users should not save an OGP file before
calibration, it produces a corrupt OGP file that	calibration.
crashes openGUTS on loading. (Added 1 March	
2021).	
Installation: possibility to choose the location for	
installing the software, rather than forcing	
C:\Program Files (x86)\openGUTS.	
REPAIRED IN V1.1 (1 March 2021).	
Installation: issues with admin rights or virus	See if this is an issue for users in practice, and
scanners	what can be done about it.
IMPROVED IN V1.1, though Admin rights are	
needed (1 March 2021). The new installation file	
solves the (false positive) virus warnings (3	
March 2023).	

Table 2: Possible new functionality without requiring major reworking of the code.

On a general note, the choice could be made to restrict updates to the standalone version to bugs/small issues, and to implement new functionality into the Matlab version only. The Matlab version provides identical results to the standalone version, is easier to modify, and the new functionality would mainly be for expert users.

Possible new functionality (not considered for	Technical
version 1.0)	
Validation: possibility to use more than one data	This is already possible in the Matlab
set for validation.	version.
Prediction: possibility to use more than one data	This is already possible in the Matlab
set for prediction (LPx calculation). Addressed by	version.
the update to V1.1 (1 March 2021).	
Predictions: option to plot CIs on the model curves	This is already possible in the Matlab
(survival and damage) for the prediction stage.	version, but extremely time consuming. This
	could be done with a smaller sub-sample.
Predictions: option to save exposure profile from	
the software, rather than only allowing loading.	
Batch calculations: the batch calculations need the	This option has been built into the Matlab
option to calculate LPx with Cls.	version already (version 0.8), including the
	option to use a sub-sample for speed. That
	could be sufficient.
Input data: other types of exposure scenarios;	This requires a different input data format,
currently only linear interpolation (with the	which can easily be confusing. Far simpler
possibility for instant changes) is used. However,	would be the possibility to automatically
we could also think of an option to use static	generate stepwise-linear exposure scenarios
renewal with exponential decay.	that approximate a certain exponential
	decay (this could be a separate tool).
GUI: Possibility to use a conversion factor for	A correction factor for LPx predictions is
prediction scenarios (if they are in different units	already possible in the Matlab version.
than the calibration data). Somewhat more	
elaborate would be an automatic conversion of	
concentration units. E.g., with drop-down menus	
to select the relevant units from a list for each data	
set.	
Plotting: more types of plots. Most interesting	This could be implemented into the Matlab
would be a single-panel survival plot (with all	version only. Note that dose-response plots
treatments plotted in the same panel, such that	would be ambiguous when exposure is not
the overall pattern can easily be judged visually).	constant; these plots are best avoided.
Predictions: specific options for making predictions	Some functionality is already included in the
to aid test design (e.g., calculating pulse height,	Matlab version (plotting damage and
pulse width, pulse intervals).	survival for user-entered MFs).
Calibration: option to use data-set-specific	This requires a change in the internal data
background hazard rates in calibration (when	representation, and would only be a good
calibrating to multiple data sets).	idea if h_b is fitted to the controls (otherwise
	too many parameter would need to be fitted
	simultaneously).
Report: Different functionality/formatting/options	Wait for users to gain experience with the
for output report(s).	current version.

Report: Also include DRT95 and beta in the report (they are now only shown in the output window of	
the calibration). (added 21 April 2020)	
Calibration: plot parameter space for two calibrations in such way that it is possible to see if the two calibrations are consistent (whether the clouds overlap).	This could be implemented into the Matlab version only, or even outside of the software in a separate tool, using the saved project files. However, spotting overlap in more than 2 dimensions needs some thought.
GUI/calibration: allow excluding treatments from the data set for calibration (without having to make a new data set).	
Calibration: smarter derivation of initial parameter grid. E.g., including a correlation structure.	This would be complex, and would only improve speed in Round 1 of the optimisation (which is generally very fast anyway).
Batch predictions: currently, the batch mode only produces text output of the LPx values for each profile. This could be augmented with plots (e.g., a multipanel plot with all exposure scenarios and survival).	The Matlab version already creates standard plots for each profile silently (not on screen, but only placed as PDF in the output directory).
Calibration: In cases where parameters are correlated, it may be that a CI for a parameter is affected by another parameter hitting its boundary. For example, for slow kinetics, kd and mw go to zero and bw to infinity, all tightly correlated. One of these three parameters will hit its boundary first, implying that the others cannot continue to more extreme values. Their CIs (at least: one of the bounds) will thus be artificial, and depend on the boundaries of the other parameters.	We can try to identify this behaviour and flag it. However, it would be difficult to do that in a fool-proof manner.
GUI/general: Allow the Matlab version's MAT file to be used by the standalone, and the standalone's output file by the Matlab version.	This could be a separate Matlab tool to translate one file into the other. However, since calibration does not take much time, this is unlikely to be very helpful.
GUI/general: Design a simple Matlab GUI for the Matlab version, to aid use of this version (would still require Matlab to be installed).	Matlab offers options to create GUIs, which can simplify operating the Matlab version (the GUI will make use of the same underlying functions as the current Matlab version).

Table 3: Suggested new functionality that would require major reworking of the code.

In general, it should be considered that BYOM is better suited for these (and several options are already included in the BYOM GUTS package).

New functionality that implies extensive changes in the code.	Technical
Inclusion of GUTS models that separate TK and damage dynamics (the full model). Either allowing the user to provide a TK rate constant, or allow the user to enter body-residue data to be fitted together with survival data.	Even though analytical solutions for damage can be used, this requires very serious modification of the code: inclusion of more functions that calculate model output, more parameters (>4 parameters would require more robustness testing with the optimisation algorithm, with uncertain outcome), serious modification of the LCx,t and LPx calculations (the analytical solutions and shortcuts will not work anymore), and possibly a different (extended) input data format.
Include TK models that deal with growth dilution or other TK extensions (e.g., saturating kinetics).	This would require serious modification of the LCx,t and LPx calculations, and likely introduces additional parameters that need to be fitted (so robustness checking of the algorithm). Furthermore, if effects are driven by damage, it is unclear whether growth dilution applies.
Inclusion of GUTS models that use 'GUTS proper', and thus combine SD and IT.	This would require thorough testing of the optimisation algorithm, as it would lead to >4 model parameters. Furthermore, some checking whether the LCx,t and LPx calculations still apply.
Include sampling error for the CIs on survival probability. This will lead to two types of CIs on model curves: the ones including only parameter uncertainty (which is already available) and wider bounds that account for the differences between individuals (this is where we can expect 95% of new data points to fall). This would allow for better judgement of the validation plots.	This can likely be based on the 'validation profile likelihood', but that needs to be worked out for the multinomial case. This requires theoretical study and testing. However, once the equations are clear, the main change would be to the plotting function.